IMSC/2016/10/X

Sine-Gordon Theory : Entanglement entropy and holography

Pinaki Banerjee, Atanu Bhatta and B. Sathiapalan

Institute of Mathematical Sciences
CIT Campus, Taramani
Chennai - 600 113, India
and
Homi Bhabha National Institute
Training School Complex, Anushakti Nagar
Mumbai 400085, India

E-mail: pinakib@imsc.res.in, batanu@imsc.res.in, bala@imsc.res.in

Abstract: We compute entanglement entropy of sine-Gordon theory for a single interval using both field theoretic and holographic techniques. The results match for near-marginal perturbations upto leading order in the coupling.

arXiv:1610.04233v1 [hep-th] 13 Oct 2016

Contents

1 Introduction 1

2 Entanglement entropy from 2D field theory 4

3 Entanglement entropy from holography 13

4 Summary and Conclusions 19

A Renormalization of coupling λ 20

B Ambiguity 22

C Normalization 22

1 Introduction

Entanglement entropy (EE) [1–4] is a measure of entanglement for a quantum state. Besides the conceptual importance of understanding it because of its purely quantum nature, it has been proposed as a practical way to distinguish between phases in some condensed matter systems that cannot be distinguished by any local order parameter [5–7].

There is a simple elegant geometric prescription for computing the entanglement entropy of a system using holographic techniques [8–10]. As a result, a lot of work has been done in evaluating this quantity on the bulk side. The corresponding calculation for boundary conformal field theory (CFT) has also received a lot of attention and wherever both techniques are applicable there is a perfect match (see for example [11–19] and references therein). There are also derivations of the holographic prescription in different situations viz. for spherical entangling regions [20], for time independent scenario [21] and recently for the covariant version of the conjecture [22].

A CFT describes a fixed point under renormalization group (RG) of a more general field theory. Typically in a field theory there is an RG flow from a ultra-violate (UV) fixed point to an infra-red (IR) fixed point and the field theory lives on the trajectory in between the two fixed points. It is only for a very special choice of parameters that it is exactly at one of the fixed points and then it is expected to be conformally invariant. One expects the holographic correspondence to be valid not only at both fixed points but also along the entire trajectory. In the bulk this flow has been termed "Holographic RG" [23–33] although it is far from clear what

exactly the connection is with the usual Wilsonian RG. In particular the details of the regulator or the coarse graining procedure have not been satisfactorily worked out [31, 33].

In order to understand these issues better it is useful to calculate physical quantities away from the fixed point and try to check the holographic correspondence along the RG trajectory. Entanglement entropy is one such useful physical quantity and in this paper we check this correspondence at points on the trajectory away from, but close to, the fixed point of a field theory (see also [34–37]). In order to keep the computations as simple as possible, we consider a field theory in 1+1 dimension and its holographic dual in 2+1 dimension. Also to make things concrete we consider a specific field theory - the sine-Gordon theory.

$$\mathcal{A} = \int \mathrm{d}^2x \left[\frac{1}{2}(\vec{\nabla}\phi)^2 - \frac{\lambda_0}{\beta^2 a^2}\cos(\beta\phi)\right] \tag{1.1}$$

This is a very interesting and non trivial field theory in its own right. In particular it is related to the XY model and has the well known Kosterlitz-Thouless phase transition [38–40]. In the context of string theory this action is also a world sheet description of a particular tachyonic background. This has been exploited in obtaining the equations of motion for the tachyon - which are generalizations of the sine-Gordon β- functions [41].

In this paper we calculate the change in entanglement entropy along the flow of the coupling λ. The RG flow has three regions corresponding to three different phases [42]. See the RG flow diagram for sine-Gordon theory in Fig. 1. In region I the theory flows in the IR to a free theory. This is where the cosine perturbation is irrelevant. The theory is on the critical surface and the correlation length is infinite. In region II the correlation length is finite and depends on which RG trajectory the theory is on, which in turn is determined in terms of the parameters of the theory. Because the theory flows towards strong coupling in region II and III and to weak coupling in region I, our perturbative calculation of entanglement entropy is easier to justify in region I.



Figure 1: *RG flow of sine-Gordon theory. There are three different regions separated by the flow lines S_1 and S_2.*

We use a technique introduced by Holzhey, Larsen and Wilczek (HLW) [3] involving the trace anomaly. To calculate the EE corresponding to a finite interval, HLW [3] map this interval to the infinite half line using a conformal transformation and proceed to calculate the entanglement entropy of this system by extracting the dependence of the EE on $\ln a$ (a is the short distance cutoff) using the trace anomaly. They then argue that because the theory is conformal, the only other scale is the length of the interval and therefore the dependence is in fact $\ln \frac{l}{a}$. We use the same technique. However since the theory is not conformal after adding the perturbation, the conformal maps used by HLW [3] cannot be usefully applied in this method.[1] We will therefore address the simpler problem where the interval length l is very large and can be taken as the half line. Once again we extract the dependence on $\ln a$. If the theory is in region II or III, it has a finite correlation length - ξ and is the only other scale in theory (assuming l is infinite). Thus we expect a dependence $\ln \frac{\xi}{a}$. The correlation length in region II has been estimated in [42]. Defined as the scale where the coupling constant δ is of O(1) they obtain $\xi = ae^{\frac{4\pi}{\lambda_0}}$ where λ_0 is the intercept of the RG trajectory on the y-axis - which corresponds to the line $\frac{\beta^2}{4\pi} - 2 = 0$, when the cosine is a marginal perturbation near the trivial fixed point. Thus $\ln \frac{\xi}{a} = \frac{4\pi}{\lambda_0}$. While this quantity is an RG invariant, the coupling constants flow along the trajectory. And there is some scale dependence in the entanglement entropy. Defining entropy involves coarse graining and a scale dependence is not unexpected. This scale dependence is similar to that in the c-function defined by Zamolodchikov [43]. Here a distance scale enters - the distance between the two operators in the two point function of components of the energy momentum tensor used to define $C(r)$. It becomes unambiguous only at fixed points.

In region I however, the cosine perturbation is marginally irrelevant and we are on the critical surface and $\xi = \infty$. The only scale that can possibly enter in the logarithm is the IR cutoff, which we can take to be l and we can expect again that the dependence will be $\ln \frac{l}{a}$. In this case also one should interpret the coupling as flowing from the UV scale a to the IR scale l. The coupling in the IR limit is zero and the coupling in the expression for entanglement entropy should be interpreted as the value at the UV scale.

In the bulk calculation also we can see that for the field to be finite in the interior $z = \infty$ we need to consider the case $\Delta > 2$ where it is an irrelevant perturbation. Otherwise one expects the back reaction to be significant. It is only in this case that one should compare calculations on both sides - bulk and boundary - and expect the answers to match, as indeed they do.

The entanglement entropy is also related to the central charge of the theory by $S_E = \frac{c}{3} \ln \frac{l}{a}$. This relation is true for exactly conformal field theories. One may expect that, at least to lowest order, this continues to be true along the RG flow

[1] The technique used by [4] can perhaps be used but seem very complicated in practice.

if we use a suitably defined "central charge function[2]" [43, 46–48]. At higher orders there should be ambiguities related to the choice of flow equation which we know is far from unique - this non uniqueness has to do with the choice of regulation or coarse graining that is adopted along the flow. In any case we test this by considering the change in central charge. This has been calculated using the exact renormalization group (ERG) and also other methods [49]. We find that the answers match with that obtained by the other two methods. As mentioned above, at lowest order this match is not unexpected.

There is one noteworthy feature about this match. If the change in entanglement entropy is related to the change in central charge, then it is clear that it must decrease along the RG flow towards the IR. Thus if the perturbation is relevant then $\lambda > 0$ is the IR end of the flow and $\lambda = 0$ is the UV end of the flow. If the perturbation is irrelevant the opposite holds. Thus the sign of the change in EE between $\lambda > 0$ and $\lambda = 0$ should reflect this, even though the lowest order answer depends on λ^2. It is reassuring that the final answer does satisfy this requirement.

The rest of the paper is organised as follows. In section 2 we compute the EE for sine-Gordon theory in leading order in coupling using 2D field theoretic techniques. We calculate the same quantity in section 3 but from bulk using holography. Section 4 contains the summary and interpretation of our results and some future directions.

2 Entanglement entropy from 2D field theory

Sine-Gordon theory [50, 51] on a 2-dimensional Euclidean space is described by the action,

$$\mathcal{A} = \int \mathrm{d}^2x \left[\frac{1}{2}(\vec{\nabla}\phi)^2 - \frac{\lambda_0}{\beta^2 a^2}\cos(\beta\phi)\right] \tag{2.1}$$

λ_0 represents bare coupling and a is the UV cutoff. In the complex plane the action (2.1) becomes,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A} &= \int \mathrm{d}^2z \left[\partial_z\phi\,\partial_{\bar{z}}\phi - \frac{1}{2}\frac{\lambda_0}{\beta^2 a^2}\cos(\beta\phi(z))\right] \\ &\equiv \mathcal{A}_0 + \mathcal{A}_{SG}\end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

Superficially the interaction sine term looks like a relevant interaction because the scalar field in 2 dimensions is dimensionless. Nevertheless at the quantum level it has a well defined anomalous dimension and can be relevant, marginal or irrelevant. At leading order this is just determined by the parameter β since the dimension of the operator is $\frac{\beta^2}{4\pi}$.

[2] For more details on c-function and how it is related to holographic EE see [44, 45].

For a quantum mechanical system with many degrees of freedom the density matrix is given by,

$$\rho_{tot} = |\Psi\rangle\langle\Psi| \tag{2.3}$$

where $|\Psi\rangle$ is the state vector of the system. Now we divide the total system into two subsystems A and B.



Figure 2: *Sub-systems A and B of an infinite 1D system*

Tracing out the degrees of freedom of B, we are left with the reduced density matrix,

$$\rho_A = \mathrm{Tr}_B(\rho_{tot}) \tag{2.4}$$

which describes the remaining degrees of freedom in A. The entanglement entropy across ∂A is then given by von Neumann entropy of ρ_A,

$$S_{\partial A} = -\mathrm{Tr}_A(\rho_A \log \rho_A). \tag{2.5}$$

We are interested in computing entanglement entropy for the sine-Gordon theory. For that purpose we consider an interval of length l (see Fig. 3) and compute its EE. This is a measure of how much this interval is quantum mechanically entangled to the rest of the system.

But it is well known that the EE computed for this system will diverge as there is no UV cutoff. To regularize that divergence let's introduce two UV cutoffs namely a_1 and a_2 at the end points of the subsystem A. For simplicity we take $a_1 = a_2 = a$ (see Fig. 3).



Figure 3: *The sub-system A with the UV cutoff a at its boundary points.*

HLW compute EE for such a system but for a conformal field theory in its ground state. In their paper [3] HLW introduce an IR cutoff and then map the subsystem A to a half line by a standard conformal mapping. Due to that special transformation

the IR cutoff decouples and only available length scales are the subsystem size l and the UV cutoff a. Thus $\frac{l}{a}$ is the only dimensionless quantity for that problem. They probe the UV sensitivity of the partition function to obtain the famous logarithmically divergent EE for the critical system : $S_E = \frac{c}{3} \ln \frac{l}{a}$. But as mentioned in the introduction for our system which is slightly away from criticality we do not have the privilege of using a conformal map to make our subsystem a half line. To avoid this complication we start with a system whose size is very large and can itself be considered as a half line.



Figure 4: *Our sub-system is a semi-infinite line. The strip represents the ground state wave functional for the whole system.*

Fig. 4 represents the ground state wave-functional for the $1+1$ dimensional field theory which is obtained by path-integrating the field from $t = -\infty$ to $t = 0$ in the Euclidean formalism. The values of the field ϕ_+ at the boundary depends on the spatial coordinate. The total density matrix is given by two copies of the wave functional.

$$[\rho]_{\phi_+,\phi_-} = \Psi[\phi_+]\bar{\Psi}[\phi_-] \tag{2.6}$$

The complex conjugate one can be obtained by path-integrating from $t = +\infty$ to $t = 0$. To obtain the reduced density matrix we integrate over the subsystem B (see Fig. 5) which is equivalent to sewing the two sheets along B.



Figure 5: *Pictorial representation of the reduced density matrix. The boundary values of the field ϕ_+, ϕ_- are the entries of the density matrix $[\rho_A]_{\phi_+,\phi_-}$.*

One can compute the EE for subsystem A using replica trick.

$$S_{\partial A} = \left(1 - n\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}n}\right) \ln \mathcal{Z}(n)\Big|_{n=1} \tag{2.7}$$

where $\mathcal{Z}(n) = \mathcal{Z}(1)^n tr(\rho_A^n)$. This $tr(\rho_A^n)$ can be computed by introducing n such sheets and sewing them in a particular manner (see Fig 6 for $n = 3$ case). The topology of the replica surface becomes a cone with angular circumference $2\pi n$.



Figure 6: $tr(\rho_A^3) = [\rho_A]_{\phi_+^1,\phi_-^1}[\rho_A]_{\phi_+^2,\phi_-^2}[\rho_A]_{\phi_+^3,\phi_-^3}$ *where we identify* $\phi_-^1 \sim \phi_+^2$, $\phi_-^2 \sim \phi_+^3$ *and* $\phi_-^3 \sim \phi_+^1$.

The partition function for a field theory on a manifold M is given by,

$$\mathcal{Z} = \int_M \mathcal{D}\phi\, \mathrm{e}^{-\mathcal{A}[\phi]} \tag{2.8}$$

Under a global dilatation, $x^\mu \to (1 - 2\,\alpha)x^\mu$, the action changes as,

$$\delta\mathcal{A} = -2\alpha \int_M \mathrm{d}^2x\sqrt{g}\; T_\mu^\mu \tag{2.9}$$

therefore the change in partition function,

$$\begin{aligned}\delta\, \ln \mathcal{Z} &= -\int_M \mathcal{D}\phi\, (\delta\mathcal{A})\mathrm{e}^{-\mathcal{A}[\phi]} \\ &= -\langle\delta\mathcal{A}\rangle\end{aligned}$$

where we have considered that the partition function is normalized. Under the dilation the cutoff changes as $a \to (1 + 2\alpha)a$. With $2\alpha = \delta a/a$,

$$\frac{\partial\, \ln \mathcal{Z}}{\partial\, \ln(a)} = \int_M \mathrm{d}^2x\, \sqrt{g}\, \langle T_\mu^\mu\rangle. \tag{2.10}$$

From (2.7) and (2.10) one obtains [3]

$$\frac{\partial S_{\partial A}}{\partial \ln a} = \left(1 - n\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}n}\right)_{n=1} \frac{\partial \ln \mathcal{Z}(n)}{\partial \ln a} = \left(1 - n\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}n}\right)_{n=1} \int_{M_n} \sqrt{g}\, \mathrm{d}^2x\, \langle T^\mu_\mu \rangle \tag{2.11}$$

Using this formula, Holzhey et al obtained the famous result $S_{EE} = \frac{c}{3} \ln \frac{l}{a}$. We will compute the change ΔS_{EE} caused by the addition of the cosine perturbation, which changes $\langle T^\mu_\mu \rangle$. Note that our formula (2.11) has different normalization factor compared to HLW [3]. This is due to different conventions of defining the energy-momentum tensor $T_{\mu\nu}$. According to their convention, $T_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{4\pi}\frac{1}{\sqrt{g}}\frac{\delta S}{\delta g^{\mu\nu}}$, whereas $T_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{g}}\frac{\delta S}{\delta g^{\mu\nu}}$ for us.

We compute the entanglement entropy using (2.11) in three steps [3] :

1. We first compute $\langle T^\mu_\mu \rangle$ on the plane.
2. Then using conformal symmetry we compute $\langle T^\mu_\mu \rangle$ on the cone.
3. Finally we insert the value of $\langle T^\mu_\mu \rangle_{\text{cone}}$ into (2.11) and integrate to get the answer.

Computation of $\langle T^\mu_\mu \rangle_{\text{plane}}$

We work in complex plane *i.e,* we change our coordinate as,

$$z = x_1 + ix_2 \tag{2.12a}$$
$$\bar{z} = x_1 - ix_2 \tag{2.12b}$$

Under this coordinate transformation the flat metric becomes,

$$\eta_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \quad \eta^{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.13}$$

Therefore the trace of the energy-momentum tensor,

$$T^\mu_\mu = \eta^{\mu\nu} T_{\mu\nu} = \eta^{z\bar{z}} T_{z\bar{z}} + \eta^{\bar{z}z} T_{\bar{z}z} = 4T_{z\bar{z}} \tag{2.14}$$

as Lorentz invariance makes the energy-momentum tensor symmetric. Then (2.10) becomes,

$$\frac{\partial \ln \mathcal{Z}}{\partial \ln (a)} = 2 \int \mathrm{d}^2 z \langle T_{z\bar{z}} \rangle \tag{2.15}$$

To compute $\langle T_{z\bar{z}} \rangle$ on the plane using (2.15) we compute the partition function perturbatively in λ. To do so we first normalize the interaction term as follows.

[3] We also compute the change in EE directly on the cone at the end of this section. The result is consistent with this three step procedure.

Using the free theory propagator[4],

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\phi(z,\bar{z})\phi(w,\bar{w})\rangle &= -\frac{1}{2\pi}\,\ln\left|\frac{z-w}{R}\right| \\ &= -\frac{1}{4\pi}\,\ln\frac{(z-w)(\bar{z}-\bar{w})}{R^2}\end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

one can notice that the interaction term can be written as,

$$\begin{aligned}\cos(\beta\phi(z)) &= \mathrm{e}^{\frac{\beta^2}{4\pi}\ln\left(\frac{a}{R}\right)} : \cos(\beta\phi(z)) : \\ &= \left(\frac{a}{R}\right)^{\frac{\beta^2}{4\pi}} : \cos(\beta\phi(z)) :\end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

where $: \mathcal{O} :$ represents normal ordered operator $\mathcal{O}$.

The partition function upto second order in λ_0

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{Z} &= \left\langle \mathrm{e}^{-\int \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 z}{a^2}\frac{\lambda_0}{2\beta^2}\cos(\beta\phi)} \right\rangle \\ &= \langle 1\rangle + \frac{1}{2!}\frac{\lambda_0^2}{4\beta^4}\left(\frac{a^2}{R^2}\right)^{\frac{\beta^2}{4\pi}}\left\langle \int\frac{\mathrm{d}^2 z_1}{a^2}\int\frac{\mathrm{d}^2 z_2}{a^2} :\ \cos\left(\beta\phi(z_1)\right) ::\ \cos\left(\beta\phi(z_2)\right) : \right\rangle \\ &\equiv \mathcal{Z}_0(1+\mathcal{Z}_2)\end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

The term linear in λ_0 vanishes due to momentum conservation. $\mathcal{Z}_0$ is the partition function of the free theory and gives the leading term $S_{EE} = \frac{c}{3}\ln\frac{l}{a}$. We need the contribution due to $(1+\mathcal{Z}_2)$. We set $\mathcal{Z}_0 = 1$ hereafter.

The interaction term of the sine-Gordon action (2.2) can be represented by particular vertex operator which behaves as primary operator in the theory. The scaling dimension (Δ) of the vertex operator can be extracted from corresponding two-point correlator. Using (2.16) it is straight forward to compute

$$\langle :\ \cos\left(\beta\phi(z_1)\right) ::\ \cos\left(\beta\phi(z_2)\right) :\rangle = \frac{1}{2}\left|\frac{z_1-z_2}{R}\right|^{-\beta^2/2\pi} \tag{2.19}$$

Hence the operator $\cos(\beta\phi)$ by which we deform the free conformal theory has scaling dimension, $\Delta = \beta^2/4\pi$ (conformal weight, $h = \bar{h} = \beta^2/4\pi$).

[4]It's evident from (2.16) that ϕ doesn't behave as a conformal primary. Rather $\partial\phi$ behaves as conformal primary with scaling dimension one.

$$\langle\partial\phi(z)\partial\phi(w)\rangle = -\frac{1}{4\pi}\frac{1}{(z-w)^2}$$

Inserting (2.19) in (2.18) we find

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{Z}_2 &= \frac{\lambda_0^2}{128\pi^2\Delta^2}\left(\frac{a^2}{R^2}\right)^{\Delta}\int\frac{\mathrm{d}^2z_1}{a^2}\int\frac{\mathrm{d}^2z_2}{a^2}\langle:\ \cos\left(\beta\phi(z_1)\right)::\ \cos\left(\beta\phi(z_2)\right):\rangle \\ &= \frac{\lambda_0^2}{128\pi^2\Delta^2}\left(\frac{a^2}{R^2}\right)^{\Delta}\int\frac{\mathrm{d}^2z}{a^2}\int\frac{\mathrm{d}^2w}{a^2}\frac{1}{2}\left|\frac{w}{R}\right|^{-2\Delta} \\ &= \frac{\lambda_0^2}{64\Delta^2(1-\Delta)}\left[\left(\frac{a^2}{R^2}\right)^{\Delta-2}-\frac{R^2}{a^2}\right] \end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

The second term inside the parentheses in (2.20) is badly divergent as $a \to 0$. To get rid of that divergent term we add a cosmological constant term in the bare action,

$$\mathcal{A}_{SG} = \int\frac{\mathrm{d}^2z}{a^2}\left[-\frac{\lambda_0}{\beta^2}\cos\left(\beta\phi(z)\right)+\lambda_0(0)\right] \tag{2.21}$$

The partition function becomes (upto 2nd order),

$$\mathcal{Z} = 1+\frac{\lambda_0^2}{64\Delta^2(1-\Delta)}\left[\left(\frac{a^2}{R^2}\right)^{\Delta-2}-\frac{R^2}{a^2}\right]+\lambda_0(0)\frac{2\pi R^2}{a^2} \tag{2.22}$$

We choose

$$\lambda_0(0) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\frac{\lambda_0^2}{64\Delta^2(1-\Delta)} \tag{2.23}$$

to cancel the divergent piece and then the partition function becomes

$$\mathcal{Z}_b = 1+\frac{\lambda_0^2}{64\Delta^2(1-\Delta)}\left(\frac{a^2}{R^2}\right)^{\Delta-2} \tag{2.24}$$

We need to renormalize the theory so that we can take the limit, $a \to 0$ smoothly and describe the theory at a larger length scale L. See Appendix A for the detailed renormalization procedure. The renormalized partition function upto the second order in λ_R becomes,

$$\mathcal{Z}_R = 1+\frac{\lambda_R^2}{64\Delta^2(1-\Delta)}\left(\frac{L^2}{R^2}\right)^{\Delta-2} \tag{2.25}$$

which is finite[5] at the limit $a \to 0$. Having shown that the continuum limit can be taken we can also, for simplicity, just keep a finite and avoid introducing a new symbol L. We will do that later.

Using (2.15) and (2.25) we write the expectation value of the trace of renormalized energy-momentum tensor,

$$\begin{aligned} 2\int\mathrm{d}^2z\langle T_{z\bar{z}}\rangle_{\text{pl.}} &= L\frac{\partial\ln\mathcal{Z}_R}{\partial L} \\ &= \frac{\lambda_R^2}{32}\frac{(\Delta-2)}{\Delta^2(1-\Delta)}\left(\frac{L^2}{R^2}\right)^{\Delta-2} \end{aligned} \tag{2.26}$$

[5]Effectively we have just replaced the bare coupling λ_0 by the renormalized one (λ_R) and also replaced the 'lattice spacing' a by a 'macroscopic' or 'larger' length scale L.

Assuming $\langle T_{z\bar{z}}\rangle$ to be independent of z for translational invariant system

$$\langle T_{z\bar{z}}\rangle_{\text{pl.}} = \frac{\lambda_R^2}{128\pi}\frac{(\Delta-2)}{\Delta^2(1-\Delta)}\left(\frac{L^2}{R^2}\right)^{\Delta-2}\frac{1}{R^2} \tag{2.27}$$

Computation of $\langle T^\mu_\mu\rangle_{\text{cone}}$

One can find the expectation value $\langle T^\mu_\mu\rangle$ on a cone with angular circumference $2\pi n$ mapping

$$\frac{w}{R} = \left(\frac{z}{R}\right)^n \tag{2.28}$$

The vacuum of the sine-Gordon theory becomes conformally invariant when $\Delta = 2$. We assume that the vacuum is still conformally invariant when the conformal weight Δ is slightly away from the marginality ($(\Delta-2) \approx 0$). Then one can use the transformation law of the sine-Gordon operator $\cos(\beta\phi)$ under (2.28) to find the expectation value $\langle T^\mu_\mu\rangle$ on the cone to $\mathcal{O}(\Delta-2)$ as

$$\begin{aligned}\langle T_{w\bar{w}}\rangle_{\text{cone}} = \langle T_{\bar{w}w}\rangle_{\text{cone}} &= \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}w}{\mathrm{d}z}\right)^{-\frac{\Delta}{2}}\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}\bar{w}}{\mathrm{d}\bar{z}}\right)^{-\frac{\Delta}{2}}\langle T_{z\bar{z}}(w(z),\bar{w}(\bar{z}))\rangle_{\text{pl.}} \\ &= n^{-\Delta}\left(\frac{w\bar{w}}{R^2}\right)^{\frac{-\Delta}{2}(1-\frac{1}{n})}\langle T_{z\bar{z}}(w(z),\bar{w}(\bar{z}))\rangle_{\text{pl.}}\end{aligned} \tag{2.29}$$

One can notice that $\langle T_{z\bar{z}}(w(z),\bar{w}(\bar{z}))\rangle_{\text{pl.}}$ is linear in $(\Delta-2)$. The corrections to the above expression comes in at $\mathcal{O}\left((\Delta-2)^2\right)$. This fact can be realized when we shall compute the partition function directly on the cone.

Entanglement entropy

To use (2.11) for computiong entanglement entropy we evaluate the integral,

$$\begin{aligned}I(n) &= \int_{M_n}\sqrt{g}\langle T^\mu_\mu\rangle \mathrm{d}^2x \\ &= 2\int_M \mathrm{d}w\mathrm{d}\bar{w}\left[n^{-\Delta}\left(\frac{w\bar{w}}{R^2}\right)^{\frac{-\Delta}{2}(1-\frac{1}{n})}\left(T_{z\bar{z}}(w(z),\bar{w}(\bar{z}))\right)_{\text{pl.}}\right] \\ &= \frac{8\pi\, n^{2-\Delta}}{\Delta - n(\Delta-2)}\times\langle T_{z\bar{z}}\rangle_{\text{pl.}}\times\left[R^2 - a^2\left(\frac{R}{a}\right)^{(\Delta-2)(n-1)}\right]\end{aligned} \tag{2.30}$$

Then entanglement entropy becomes

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial\,\Delta S_{\partial A}}{\partial\ln a} &= \left(1 - n\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}n}\right)_{n=1} I(n) \\ &= 2\pi R^2\langle T_{z\bar{z}}\rangle_{\text{pl.}}\Delta\end{aligned} \tag{2.31}$$

The RG flow starts from a scale a (UV) to R (IR). L is some intermediate point. To avoid having too many scales we just replace L by a.

As mentioned in the introduction, near $\Delta = 2$, but with $\Delta > 2$, the cosine perturbation is irrelevant. The system is on the critical surface and the correlation length is infinite. Then we can argue that the only other scale is the IR cutoff, which we take to be l (i.e.$R = l$). Then λ is the coupling at scale a and flows to zero at scale $l >> a$.

$$\Delta S_{\partial A} = \frac{\lambda_R^2(\Delta-2)}{128} \ln\left(\frac{l}{a}\right) + \mathcal{O}\left((\Delta-2)^2\right) \tag{2.32}$$

When $\Delta < 2$, in region III, the perturbation is relevant, the correlation length ξ is finite and we should replace $\frac{l}{a}$ by $\frac{\xi}{a}$. Similarly in region II also ξ is finite. In region II an expression for ξ is available: $\ln\frac{\xi}{a} = \frac{4\pi}{\lambda_{int}}$ where λ_{int} is the intercept of the RG trajectory on the y-axis - which corresponds to the line $\frac{\beta^2}{4\pi} - 2 = 0$ [42].

$$\Delta S_{\partial A} = \frac{\lambda_R^2(\Delta-2)}{128} \ln\left(\frac{\xi}{a}\right) + \mathcal{O}\left((\Delta-2)^2\right) = \frac{\lambda_R^2(\Delta-2)}{128}\frac{4\pi}{\lambda_{int}} + \mathcal{O}\left((\Delta-2)^2\right) \tag{2.33}$$

However in regions II and III clearly perturbation theory is suspect because λ necessarily becomes large at the scale of the correlation length.

• Direct computation

Here we compute change in EE near marginality to the leading order by computing the partition function directly on the cone. The action for the sine-Gordon theory on the cone can be found using the mapping (2.28) to be

$$\mathcal{A}_c = \int \mathrm{d}^2 w \left[\partial_w\phi\,\partial_{\bar{w}}\phi - \frac{1}{2}n^{\Delta-2}\left(\frac{w\bar{w}}{R^2}\right)^{\frac{(\Delta-2)(n-1)}{2n}} \frac{\lambda_0}{\beta^2 a^2}\cos(\beta\phi(w))\right] \tag{2.34}$$

Introducing the parameter, $\delta = (\Delta-2)/2$, the partition function on the cone becomes (again setting $\mathcal{Z}_0 = 1$)

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{Z}_c(n) = 1 + \quad & \frac{\lambda_0^2}{32\pi^2\Delta^2} \times n^{4\delta} \times \left(\frac{a^2}{R^2}\right)^{2\delta} (R^2)^{2+2\delta} \\ & \times \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 w_1}{R^2} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 w_2}{R^2} \left|\frac{w_1 w_2}{R^2}\right|^{\frac{2\delta(n-1)}{n}} \frac{1}{|w_1 - w_2|^{2\Delta}} \\ & \equiv 1 + \mathcal{Z}_c^{(2)}(n)\end{aligned} \tag{2.35}$$

to $\mathcal{O}(\lambda^2)$. Near $\delta = 0$ one can expand in a power series in δ. There are two possible sources for $\ln(\frac{a}{R})$. One is from the pre-factor $(\frac{a^2}{R^2})^{2\delta}$ and the other is from the

expansion in powers of $\ln|w_1 w_2|$ of the integrand $\left|\frac{w_1 w_2}{R^2}\right|^{\frac{2\delta(n-1)}{n}}$. It is easy to see that the latter does not contribute anything because it is a UV finite integral[6]. Thus one can ignore the factor $\left|\frac{w_1 w_2}{R^2}\right|^{\frac{2\delta(n-1)}{n}}$ in the integrand and the the partition function becomes

$$\mathcal{Z}_c^{(2)}(n)\big|_{\delta\approx 0} = -\frac{\lambda_0^2}{64} \times \frac{n^2}{4}\left[\left(\frac{a^2}{R^2}\right)^{2\delta} - \frac{R^2}{a^2}\right] \tag{2.36}$$

Again as before the quadratically divergent term in the above expression can be taken care of by introducing an appropriate cosmological constant term in the action.

Since λ is very small logarithm of the partition function (2.35) can be approximated as

$$\ln \mathcal{Z}_c(n) \approx \mathcal{Z}_c^{(2)}(n) \tag{2.37}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial \ln \mathcal{Z}(n)}{\partial \ln a} &\approx \frac{\partial\, \mathcal{Z}_c^{(2)}(n)}{\partial \ln a} \\ &\approx 4\delta\, \mathcal{Z}_c^{(2)}(n)\big|_{\delta\approx 0} + \mathcal{O}(\delta^2) \\ &= -\delta\frac{\lambda_R^2}{64} n^2 + \mathcal{O}(\delta^2)\end{aligned} \tag{2.38}$$

Plugging the above expression in (2.11) we find the entanglement entropy to be

$$\Delta S_{\partial A} = \frac{\lambda_R^2(\Delta-2)}{128} \ln\left(\frac{l}{a}\right) + \mathcal{O}\left((\Delta-2)^2\right) \tag{2.39}$$

which matches with our previous result (2.32).

3 Entanglement entropy from holography

We compute the entanglement entropy of sine-Gordon theory holographically using Ryu-Takayanagi prescription [8, 9]. According to the holographic dictionary, insertion of a primary operator of scaling dimension Δ in the boundary theory can be realized by including a free massive scalar field in the bulk action of mass, m such that $m^2 = \Delta(\Delta-2)$. The scalar field back-reacts and changes the metric. Under this metric perturbation the holographic entanglement entropy also changes.

[6] Modulo a technicality discussed in Appendix B.



Figure 7: *According to Ryu-Takayanagi prescription EE of a segment in CFT_2 is given by the corresponding geodesic length in AdS_3. For slightly perturbed CFT at leading order the EE is given by the same semi-circle but its length is changed due to change in bulk metric.*

Upto first order change in metric

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu} \tag{3.1}$$

the holographic entanglement entropy changes as

$$\Delta S_{\partial A} = \frac{1}{8G_N} \int_A \mathrm{d}\xi^{(d-2)} \sqrt{G^{(0)}} G^{(0)ij} G^{(1)}_{ij} \tag{3.2}$$

where ξ's are coordinates on the co-dimension two extremal surface, G_N is the d-dimensional Newton's constant and $G^{(0)} = \det G^{(0)}_{ij}$ and the induced metric and its variation are given by

$$G^{(0)}_{ij} = \frac{\partial x^\mu}{\partial \xi^i} \frac{\partial x^\nu}{\partial \xi^j} \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} \tag{3.3}$$

$$G^{(1)}_{ij} = \frac{\partial x^\mu}{\partial \xi^i} \frac{\partial x^\nu}{\partial \xi^j} h_{\mu\nu} \tag{3.4}$$

Under the metric perturbation the extremal surface also changes

$$z(\xi) = z_0(\xi) + z_1(\xi) + \cdots \tag{3.5}$$

To the first order we consider only $z(\xi) = z_0(\xi)$. $z_1(\xi)$ contributes from second order because by definition of extremality the first order change to the length is zero. The contribution due to $\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}$ is the original Ryu-Takayanagi calculation that gives $S_{EE} = \frac{c}{3} \ln \frac{l}{a}$.

For our case ($d = 3$), the background metric, $\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}$ in Poincare patch is given by,

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2_{AdS_3} &= \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu \\ &= \frac{dz^2 + dx_1^2 + dx_2^2}{z^2} \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

We fix AdS radius $R_{AdS} = 1$. We also take the fluctuation to be

$$h_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{z^2}\chi_{\mu\nu} \tag{3.7}$$

The co-dimension two surface is one dimensional. We take ξ^1 to be x^1 and denote it as x. The profile of the extremal surface is given by

$$z_0(x) = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{l^2 - 4x^2} \tag{3.8}$$

Then (3.2) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta S_{\partial A} &= \frac{1}{8G_N}\int_A \mathrm{d}x\,\sqrt{G^{(0)}}\,G^{(0)xx}\,G^{(1)}_{xx} \\ &= \frac{1}{4G_N l}\int_{-l/2}^{l/2}\mathrm{d}x\,\left[z_0^2\,G^{(1)}_{xx}\right]\end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

using

$$\begin{aligned}G^{(0)}_{xx} &= \left(\frac{\partial z}{\partial x}\right)^2\bar{g}_{zz} + \bar{g}_{xx} \\ &= \frac{l^2}{4}\frac{1}{z_0^4}\end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

To obtain $\Delta S_{\partial A}$ we need to compute $G^{(1)}_{xx}$. We find $G^{(1)}_{xx}$ solving linearized Einstein equation for $h_{\mu\nu}$ considering the back-reaction due to the massive scalar field.

Linearized Einstein equation :

Consider the linearized Einstein equation due to the back-reaction of a massive scalar field Φ on AdS_3 background.

$$-\frac{1}{2}\bar{\nabla}^2\tilde{h}_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}\left(\bar{\nabla}_\mu\bar{\nabla}^\lambda\tilde{h}_{\lambda\nu} + \bar{\nabla}_\nu\bar{\nabla}^\lambda\tilde{h}_{\lambda\mu}\right) - \frac{1}{2}\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}\bar{\nabla}^\alpha\bar{\nabla}^\beta\tilde{h}_{\alpha\beta} - (\tilde{h}_{\mu\nu} - \bar{g}_{\mu\nu}\tilde{h}) = 8\pi G_N\,T^{(1)}_{\mu\nu} \tag{3.11}$$

where

$$\tilde{h}_{\mu\nu} = h_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}h \tag{3.12}$$

$$\tilde{h} = \bar{g}^{\mu\nu}\tilde{h}_{\mu\nu}; \qquad h = \bar{g}^{\mu\nu}h_{\mu\nu} \tag{3.13}$$

The stress-energy tensor for the massive scalar field is given by,

$$T^{(1)}_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu\Phi\,\partial_\nu\Phi - \tfrac{1}{2}\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}\left(\bar{g}^{\alpha\beta}\,\partial_\alpha\Phi\,\partial_\beta\Phi + m^2\Phi^2\right) \tag{3.14}$$

The mass of the scalar field is related to the scaling dimension Δ of the boundary operator as $\Delta(\Delta - 2) = m^2$.

Gauge choice:

Equation (3.11) is invariant under diffeomorphism generated by the vector field, ξ

$$h_{\mu\nu} \to h_{\mu\nu} + \bar{\nabla}_\mu \xi_\nu + \bar{\nabla}_\nu \xi_\mu \tag{3.15}$$

Clearly we need $D = 3$ independent constraint equations to fix the gauge. We choose

$$h_{z\mu} = 0 \tag{3.16}$$

in the Poincare patch.

Constraints on the bulk field:

The gauge/gravity correspondence states that turning on a bulk field which behaves as $z^{2-\Delta}\lambda_b(x)$ near the boundary $z \to 0$ is dual to a source term $\int \lambda_b(x)\mathcal{O}(x)$ in the CFT action, where $\mathcal{O}(x)$ is a CFT operator. In our case, $\lambda_b(x)$ does not depend on x. This imposes the condition that the bulk field, $\Phi(z, x)$ is also independent of x. Since the stress-energy tensor of the bulk field Φ is sourcing the equation (3.11) $h_{\mu\nu}$ also does not depend on the boundary coordinates.

Linearized equation in Poincare patch:

Imposing the gauge choice as well as the constraints on the bulk fields in Poincare patch the equation (3.11) takes the form

$$\frac{1}{z}[\chi'_{xx}(z) + \chi'_{yy}(z)] = -16\pi G_N \, T^{(1)}_{zz} \tag{3.17}$$

$$\chi''_{yy}(z) - \frac{\chi'_{yy}(z)}{z} = 16\pi G_N \, T^{(1)}_{xx} \tag{3.18}$$

$$\chi''_{xy}(z) - \frac{\chi'_{xy}(z)}{z} = -16\pi G_N \, T^{(1)}_{xy} \tag{3.19}$$

$$\chi''_{xx}(z) - \frac{\chi'_{xx}(z)}{z} = 16\pi G_N \, T^{(1)}_{yy} \tag{3.20}$$

$$16\pi G_N \, T^{(1)}_{zx} = 16\pi G_N \, T^{(1)}_{zy} = 0 \tag{3.21}$$

Bulk equation of motion of a massive scalar field:

To solve (3.17)-(3.21) we need to know the functional form of $T^{(1)}_{\mu\nu}$. We solve the bulk equation of motion of the scalar field and pick the non-normalizable mode which we substitute in (3.14) to get the RHS of (3.17)-(3.21). The equation of motion is given by

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\bar{g}}} \, \partial_\mu \left(\sqrt{\bar{g}} \, \bar{g}^{\mu\nu} \, \partial_\nu \Phi \right) - m^2 \Phi = 0 \tag{3.22}$$

Imposing the constraint on Φ the above equation takes the form

$$z^2\frac{\mathrm{d}^2\Phi}{\mathrm{d}z^2} - z\frac{\mathrm{d}\Phi}{\mathrm{d}z} - m^2\Phi = 0 \tag{3.23}$$

The general solution to the above equation is given by,

$$\Phi(z) = c_1 z^{1+\sqrt{1+m^2}} + c_2 z^{1-\sqrt{1+m^2}} \tag{3.24}$$

Writing $\Delta = 1+\sqrt{1+m^2}$, the non-normalizable mode reduces to

$$\Phi(z)_{nn} = \frac{\lambda_b}{4\pi\Delta}\left(\frac{z}{a}\right)^{2-\Delta} \tag{3.25}$$

where we have used the boundary condition, $\Phi(z=a) = \lambda_b/4\pi\Delta$. Here λ_b is the coupling constant of the sine-Gordon interaction in the boundary up to a normalization factor (see Appendix C).

Note that unless $\Delta > 2$, it blows up in the interior and one would have to go to the full non linear system. In the field theory this corresponds to the coupling getting bigger in the IR because the perturbing operator is relevant.

Stress-energy tensor:

Using (3.25) we find

$$\begin{aligned} T^{(1)}_{zz} &= \partial_z\Phi\,\partial_z\Phi - \frac{1}{2z^2}\left(\bar{g}^{\alpha\beta}\,\partial_\alpha\Phi\,\partial_\beta\Phi + m^2\,\Phi^2\right) \\ &= -\left(\frac{\lambda_b}{4\pi\Delta\ a}\right)^2(\Delta-2)\left(\frac{z}{a}\right)^{2(1-\Delta)} \end{aligned} \tag{3.26}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} T^{(1)}_{xx} = T^{(1)}_{yy} &= -\frac{1}{2z^2}\left(\bar{g}^{\alpha\beta}\,\partial_\alpha\Phi\,\partial_\beta\Phi + m^2\,\Phi^2\right) \\ &= -\left(\frac{\lambda_b}{4\pi\Delta\ a}\right)^2(\Delta-2)(\Delta-1)\left(\frac{z}{a}\right)^{2(1-\Delta)} \end{aligned} \tag{3.27}$$

$$T^{(1)}_{zx} = T^{(1)}_{zy} = T^{(1)}_{xy} = 0. \tag{3.28}$$

Differential equations to solve:

Clearly (3.21) are trivially satisfied. The remaining equations become

$$\frac{1}{2}\left(\chi''_{xx}(z) - \frac{\chi'_{xx}(z)}{z}\right) = -8\,\pi\,G_N\left(\frac{\lambda_b}{4\pi\Delta\ a}\right)^2(\Delta-2)(\Delta-1)\left(\frac{z}{a}\right)^{2(1-\Delta)}$$

$$\frac{1}{2}\left(\chi''_{yy}(z) - \frac{\chi'_{yy}(z)}{z}\right) = -8\,\pi\,G_N\left(\frac{\lambda_b}{4\pi\Delta\ a}\right)^2(\Delta-2)(\Delta-1)\left(\frac{z}{a}\right)^{2(1-\Delta)}$$

$$\frac{\chi'_{xx}(z)+\chi'_{yy}(z)}{z} = 16\,\pi\,G_N\left(\frac{\lambda_b}{4\pi\Delta\ a}\right)^2(\Delta-2)\left(\frac{z}{a}\right)^{2(1-\Delta)}$$

$$\chi''_{xy}(z) - \frac{\chi'_{xy}(z)}{z} = 0$$

The solution for $\chi_{xx}(z)$ is given by

$$\chi_{xx}(z) = -(4\pi G_N)\frac{\lambda_b^2}{16\pi^2\Delta^2}\left(\frac{z^2}{a^2}\right)^{2-\Delta} + C_1 z^2 + C_2 \tag{3.29}$$

The constant term[7] would give us change in EE, $\Delta S_{\partial A} = const.$ which is not of interest to us and therefore we drop C_2. We are working in a regime where the perturbation is very close to be marginal *i.e*, $\Delta = 2 + 2\delta$. Since δ is very close to zero near the boundary ($z = 0$) the first term is always dominant compared to the second term in Eqn. (3.30). Thus $\chi_{xx}(z)$ involves only the particular solution to the corresponding differential equation

$$\chi_{xx}(z) = -(4\pi G_N)\frac{\lambda_b^2}{16\pi^2\Delta^2}\left(\frac{z^2}{a^2}\right)^{2-\Delta} \tag{3.30}$$

For $\Delta > 2$ the perturbation is irrelevant[8] whereas the $\Delta < 2$ indicates relevant perturbation.

Change in entanglement entropy:

As $h_{zz} = 0$ by our gauge choice, then $G^{(1)}_{xx} = \frac{1}{z_0^2}\chi_{xx}$. Then (3.9) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta S_{\partial A} &= \frac{1}{4G_N l}\int_{-l/2}^{l/2} \mathrm{d}x\ \chi_{xx} \\
&= -\frac{\pi\lambda_b^2}{16\pi^2\Delta^2\ l}\int_{-l/2}^{l/2}\mathrm{d}x\ \left(\frac{l^2-4x^2}{4a^2}\right)^{2-\Delta} \qquad (3.31)\\
&= -\frac{\lambda_b^2}{8\pi\Delta^2}\left(\frac{l^2}{a^2}\right)^{2-\Delta} \times \int_0^{1/2}\mathrm{d}y\ \left(\frac{1-4y^2}{4}\right)^{2-\Delta} \qquad (3.32)
\end{aligned}$$

It is worthwhile to note that G_N s get canceled and this makes $\Delta S_{\partial A}$ independent of G_N. This is same as $\Delta S_{\partial A}$ being independent of central charge c (we have taken $R_{AdS} = 1$).

Expanding the above result near $\Delta = 2$ we find

$$\Delta S_{\partial A} = \lambda_b^2\left[(const.\ terms) + \frac{(\Delta-2)}{32\pi}\ \ln\left(\frac{l}{a}\right) + \mathcal{O}((\Delta-2)^2)\right] \tag{3.33}$$

[7]Note that we perturb $\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}$ by $\frac{1}{z^2}\chi_{\mu\nu}$. Near the boundary when perturbation is close to marginality : $\frac{1}{z^2}\chi_{xx} \sim \frac{\#}{z^2} + C_1 + \frac{C_2}{z^2}$. Clearly the first and the third terms in (3.30) modify the fall-off behavior near the boundary. This is expected since we are working with non-nomalizable mode which changes the asymptotic geometry. This modification in boundary condition is dual to deformation of the boundary theory.

[8]According the "holographic RG" $z = 0$ corresponds to the UV theory and $z \to \infty$ corresponds to IR. For $\Delta = 2 + 2\delta$, the term (which is actually profile of the field theory coupling) decays as $z^{-4\delta}$ near $z \to \infty$. Therefore the perturbation becomes irrelevant for IR physics. By the same argument, for $\Delta = 2 - 2\delta$, the coupling grows as $z^{4\delta}$ near $z \to \infty$. Making the perturbation more important near low-energy scale.

From AdS/CFT dictionary using the normalization (see Appendix C)

$$\lambda_R = \lambda_b \times \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}}(\Delta - 1) \tag{3.34}$$

we get

$$\Delta S_{\partial A} = \lambda_R^2 \frac{(\Delta - 2)}{128} \ln\left(\frac{l}{a}\right) + \mathcal{O}((\Delta - 2)^2) \tag{3.35}$$

This expression (3.35) for EE from holography reproduces the result (2.32) we obtained in the previous section using field theoretic technique near $\Delta = 2$.

4 Summary and Conclusions

In this paper we have calculated the change in EE along an RG flow near the trivial fixed point of the 1+1 dimensional sine-Gordon theory. It has been calculated both in the boundary and in the bulk and the answers agree. As mentioned in the introduction one can calculate the change in central charge function along the RG flow using the ERG [49]. This gives

$$\Delta c = c(\lambda) - c(0) = \frac{3\lambda_R^2(\Delta - 2)}{128}$$

If we carry over the expression

$$\Delta S = \frac{\Delta c}{3} \ln\left(\frac{l}{a}\right)$$

which holds for a CFT, we see that the answer agrees exactly with the calculation in this paper.

Our field theory computation is for a single boson ($c = 1$) coupled to sine-Gordon potential. We have perturbatively computed the change in EE of an interval due to the interaction term. Whereas from the bulk we have calculated the same quantity using holographic dictionary. It is very interesting to note when we talk about bulk geometry we are implicitly assuming c to be very large [52]. Therefore the matching of $\Delta S_{\partial A}$ might look mysterious. The possible explanation is as follows[9]. The agreement of results from the bulk and the boundary side holds true only upto leading order in the coupling. As mentioned above, in the bulk computation the only dimensionful parameter G_N (since $R_{AdS} = 1$) cancels out and consequently the change in EE (which is also equivalent to change in central charge, Δc) becomes independent of the value of c . This 'universility' of the leading order correction

[9]We thank Nemani Suryanarayana for pointing this out.

makes it possible to compare and match the results from both sides. At higher orders, presumably c will be important and thus the results will differ.

The final expression for ΔS is proportional to $\Delta - 2$. This is expected since one expects the central charge and entanglement entropy to decrease along an RG flow, because degrees of freedom are being integrated out. Thus if the coupling λ is relevant (i.e. $\Delta - 2 < 0$) then one expects the central charge to be larger at $\lambda = 0$ than at $\lambda > 0$. If $\Delta - 2 > 0$ the flow is in the opposite direction and the central charge is larger when $\lambda > 0$.

We have also seen in the boundary calculation, that the case $\Delta - 2 < 0$, when the Cosine perturbation grows larger in the IR, perturbation theory is harder to justify. In the bulk this effect shows up as a scalar field that becomes larger in the AdS interior. In this case one cannot ignore the non linear terms in the scalar field EOM. In the boundary we replaced $\ln(\frac{l}{a})$ by $\ln(\frac{\xi}{a})$ on intuitive grounds. We do not have a similar argument for the bulk. This case requires a more exact treatment using the full RG in the boundary and correspondingly the full non linear EOM in the bulk.

In conclusion, the computation described in this paper extends the AdS/CFT correspondence in EE to non conformal backgrounds - but remaining close to conformality. It is a challenge to extend this calculation to a finite distance along the RG flow.

Acknowledgments

We thank Nemani Suryanarayana for sevaral useful discussions on various aspects of the problem. PB and AB thank Jahanur Hoque, Nirmalya Kajuri and Alok Laddha for fruitful discussions.

A Renormalization of coupling λ

Here we renormalize the coupling λ such that we can smoothly take the 'continuum limit' $a \to 0$. We perform it in two steps following [53].

Intermediate case

Here we define an intermediate coupling, λ_I that absorbs the a-dependence that arises from normal ordering of vertex operator (2.17),

$$\int \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 z}{a^2} \frac{\lambda_0}{4\pi\Delta} \cos\left(\beta\phi(z)\right) := \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 z}{L^2} \left(\frac{L^2}{R^2}\right)^{\frac{\Delta}{2}} \frac{\lambda_I}{4\pi\Delta} : \cos\left(\beta\phi(z)\right) : \tag{A.1}$$

Thus

$$\lambda_0 = \lambda_I \left(\frac{L^2}{a^2}\right)^{\frac{\Delta}{2}-1} \tag{A.2}$$

and

$$\lambda_0(0) = \lambda_I(0)\frac{a^2}{L^2}. \tag{A.3}$$

Therefore the interaction term in the action becomes,

$$\mathcal{A}_{SG} = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 z}{L^2}\left[-\frac{\lambda_I}{4\pi\Delta} :\ \cos\left(\beta\phi(z)\right) : \left(\frac{L^2}{R^2}\right)^{\frac{\Delta}{2}} + \lambda_I(0)\right] \tag{A.4}$$

Full renormalization

So all the a-dependences are collected in λ_I and $\lambda_I(0)$. We define a fully renormalized coupling λ_R so that we can write the action without any a-dependence.

$$\lambda_I := \lambda_R + \delta\lambda_R \tag{A.5a}$$

$$\lambda_I(0) := \lambda_R(0) + \delta\lambda_R(0) \tag{A.5b}$$

Thus

$$\mathcal{A}_{SG} = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 z}{L^2}\left[-\frac{\lambda_R + \delta\lambda_R}{4\pi\Delta} :\ \cos\left(\beta\phi(z)\right) : \left(\frac{L^2}{R^2}\right)^{\frac{\Delta}{2}} + \lambda_R(0) + \delta\lambda_R(0)\right] \tag{A.6}$$

Now we choose the counterterms $\delta\lambda_R$ and $\delta\lambda_R(0)$ such that the a-dependence is removed in order by order. We see that $\delta\lambda_R$ comes into play at $\mathcal{O}(\lambda_R^3)$. We set $\lambda_R(0) = 0$ and choose $\delta\lambda_R(0)$ to cancel divergence,

$$\frac{\delta\lambda_R(0)}{L^2} = \frac{\lambda_0(0)}{a^2} \tag{A.7}$$

β-function

One can check whether the renormalized partition function is scale invariant by computing β-function. To the second order,

$$\lambda_R = \lambda_I = \lambda_0\left(\frac{a^2}{L^2}\right)^{\frac{\beta^2}{8\pi}-1} \tag{A.8}$$

Therefore,

$$\beta_{\lambda_R} = L\frac{\partial\lambda_R}{\partial L} = \left(2 - \frac{\beta^2}{4\pi}\right)\lambda_R. \tag{A.9}$$

Now computing,

$$\frac{\partial\mathcal{Z}_R}{\partial\lambda_R} = \pi^2\frac{\lambda_R}{(\beta^2)^2}\left(\frac{L^2}{R^2}\right)^{\frac{\beta^2}{4\pi}-2}\frac{1}{2-\beta^2/2\pi} \tag{A.10}$$

and

$$L\frac{\partial\mathcal{Z}_R}{\partial L} = \pi^2\left(\frac{\beta^2}{4\pi} - 2\right)\frac{\lambda_R^2}{(\beta^2)^2}\left(\frac{L^2}{R^2}\right)^{\frac{\beta^2}{4\pi}-2}\frac{1}{2-\beta^2/2\pi} \tag{A.11}$$

we check that

$$L\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathcal{Z}_R}{\mathrm{d}L} = L\frac{\partial\mathcal{Z}_R}{\partial L} + \beta_{\lambda_R}\frac{\partial\mathcal{Z}_R}{\partial\lambda_R} = 0 \tag{A.12}$$

i.e, the renormalized partition function is scale independent upto second order in coupling.

B Ambiguity

Notice that in (2.35) we have taken the lower limit of the w_1-integral to be *zero* since the integral is regular at $w_1 = 0$. But if we had put the UV cutoff a (which is *not* required) and look into the next leading term in $\mathcal{Z}_c^{(2)}(n)$ we would obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{Z}_a^{(2)}(n) &= \frac{\lambda_0^2}{32\pi^2\Delta^2} \times \frac{4\delta(n-1)}{n}\left(\frac{a^2}{R^2}\right)^{2\delta}(R^2)^{2+2\delta} \\ &\times \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 w_1}{R^2}\int \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 w_2}{R^2}\,\ln\left|\frac{w_1}{R}\right|\frac{1}{|w_1-w_2|^{2\Delta}} \\ &= -\delta\frac{\lambda_0^2}{16}n(n-1)\left[\left(\frac{a^2}{R^2}\right)^{2\delta}-\frac{R^2}{a^2}\right]\times\frac{1}{R^2}\int_a^R \mathrm{d}r\, r\ln\frac{r}{R} \\ &= \delta\frac{\lambda_0^2}{16}n(n-1)\left[\left(\frac{a^2}{R^2}\right)^{2\delta}-\frac{R^2}{a^2}\right]\times\left[1+\frac{a^2}{R^2}\ln a^2\right] \end{aligned} \tag{B.1}$$

Differentiating above expression w.r.t $\ln a$ we find

$$\frac{\partial\mathcal{Z}_a^{(2)}(n)}{\partial\ln a} = -\delta\,\frac{\lambda_0^2}{16}\,n\,(n-1)+\mathcal{O}(\delta^2) \tag{B.2}$$

Thus in (B.1) the 'regular' $\frac{a^2}{R^2}\ln a^2$ which arises due to introduction of the extra a as a lower limit and the quardratic divergent piece $\frac{R^2}{a^2}$ conspire themselves to generate a new log divergent term. Consequently the change in EE vanishes, $\Delta S_{\partial A} = \mathcal{O}(\delta^2)$. Thus there is an ambiguity in $\Delta S_{\partial A}$, which arises due to the change in the lower limit of w_1-integral. This contribution should vanish if the quadratic divergence is removed by a lower order counterterm as is done while renormalizing Sine-Gordon theory. We do not pursue this here since as mentioned above the UV cutoff is not required due to finiteness of the integral.

The above expression also tells that any other corrections in EE comes in $\mathcal{O}(\delta^2)$ and can be ignored.

C Normalization

Here we normalize the bulk scalar field such that its boundary value which couples to the boundary primary operator reproduces correct two point function in the boundary field theory. A detailed computation is presented (also see [54, 55]).

The (Euclidean) action of a massive scalar field on AdS_{d+1} is given by

$$\mathcal{A} = \frac{1}{2}\int \mathrm{d}^{d+1}x\,\sqrt{g}\left[g^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\Phi\partial_\nu\Phi + m^2\Phi^2\right] \tag{C.1}$$

The equation of motion is

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{g}}\partial_\mu\left(\sqrt{g}g^{\mu\nu}\partial_\nu\Phi\right) - m^2\Phi = 0 \tag{C.2}$$

which in Poincare patch yields

$$z^{d+1}\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\left[z^{-d+1}\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\Phi(z,\vec{x})\right] + z^2\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\vec{x}^2}\Phi(z,\vec{x}) - m^2\Phi(z,\vec{x}) = 0 \tag{C.3}$$

The general solution of the above equation is

$$\Phi(z,\vec{x}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}}\int \mathrm{d}\vec{k}\, \mathrm{e}^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}}\, \Phi(z,\vec{k}) \tag{C.4}$$

with

$$\Phi(z,\vec{k}) = c_1 z^{d/2} I_\nu(ikz) + c_2 z^{d/2} K_\nu(ikz) \tag{C.5}$$

where $I_\nu(ikz), K_\nu(ikz)$ are modified Bessel functions with index

$$\nu = \left[\frac{d^2}{4} + m^2\right]^{1/2}. \tag{C.6}$$

Inserting (C.4) in the action and performing integration by parts one can find

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A} = &\frac{1}{2}\int \mathrm{d}\vec{k}\ \mathrm{d}\vec{k'}\ \delta(k+k')\left[z^{-d+1}\Phi(z,\vec{k})\partial_z\Phi(z,\vec{k'})\right]_a^\infty \\ -&\frac{1}{2}\int \mathrm{d}\vec{k}\ \mathrm{d}\vec{k'}\ \delta(k+k')\frac{\Phi(z,\vec{k})}{z^{d+1}}\left[z^{d+1}\partial_z\left(z^{-d+1}\partial_z\Phi(z,\vec{k'})\right) - (k^2z^2+m^2)\Phi(z,\vec{k'})\right]\end{aligned}$$

The last term in the above expression vanishes when the equation of motion (C.3) is satisfied. Thus the on shell action becomes

$$\mathcal{A} = \frac{1}{2}\int \mathrm{d}\vec{k}\ \mathrm{d}\vec{k'}\ \delta(k+k')\left[z^{-d+1}\Phi(z,\vec{k})\partial_z\Phi(z,\vec{k'})\right]_a^\infty \tag{C.7}$$

Let the solution to (C.3) be of the following form

$$\Phi(z,\vec{k}) = f^a(z,\vec{k})\lambda_b(\vec{k}) \tag{C.8}$$

such that

$$\lim_{z\to a} f^a(z,\vec{k}) = 1, \qquad \lim_{z\to\infty} f^a(z,\vec{k}) = 0. \tag{C.9}$$

With these boundary conditions (C.9) the solution to the equation of motion (C.5) becomes

$$f^a(z,\vec{k}) = \left(\frac{z}{a}\right)^{d/2}\frac{K_\nu(kz)}{K_\nu(ka)} \tag{C.10}$$

as the modified Bessel function $K_\nu(kz)$ vanishes as $z\to\infty$.

From AdS/CFT correspondence

$$\exp(-\mathcal{A}_{AdS}) \equiv \left\langle \exp\left(\int \mathrm{d}^d x J(\vec{x})\mathcal{O}(\vec{x})\right)\right\rangle = Z[J] \tag{C.11}$$

where

$$J(\vec{x}) = \lim_{a\to 0} a^{\nu-\frac{d}{2}}\lambda_b(\vec{x}). \tag{C.12}$$

In momentum space the two-point function of a primary operator is given by

$$\langle \mathcal{O}(\vec{k})\mathcal{O}(\vec{k'})\rangle = \lim_{a\to 0} a^{-2\nu+d}\,\frac{1}{Z[0]}\left(\frac{\delta}{\delta\lambda_b(k)}\right)\left(\frac{\delta}{\delta\lambda_b(k')}\right) Z[\lambda_b]\Big|_{\lambda_b=0} \tag{C.13}$$

where $Z[\lambda_b]$ is the partition function with source λ_b.

Therefore from bulk, the boundary two-point function will be

$$\langle \mathcal{O}(\vec{k})\mathcal{O}(\vec{k'})\rangle = \lim_{a\to 0} -a^{-2\nu+d}a^{-d+1}\delta(\vec{k}+\vec{k'}) \lim_{z\to a}\partial_z f^a(z,\vec{k}) \tag{C.14}$$

As the behavior of $K_\nu(kz)$ near $z=0$, is given by

$$K_\nu(kz) = 2^{\nu-1}\Gamma[\nu](kz)^{-\nu}[1+\cdots] - 2^{-\nu-1}\frac{\Gamma(1-\nu)}{\nu}(kz)^{\nu}[1+\cdots] \tag{C.15}$$

where the terms '$\cdots$' are positive powers of $(kz)^2$, and

$$\partial_z K_\nu(kz) = \frac{\nu}{z}K_\nu(kz) - kK_{\nu+1}(kz) \tag{C.16}$$

we find

$$\langle \mathcal{O}(\vec{k})\mathcal{O}(\vec{k'})\rangle = -\delta(\vec{k}+\vec{k'})k^{2\nu}2^{-2\nu}\frac{\Gamma(1-\nu)}{\Gamma(1+\nu)}(2\nu) + \cdots \tag{C.17}$$

to the leading order in the limit $a\to 0$. Taking Fourier transform of the above expression and using $\nu = \Delta - \frac{d}{2}$ one gets

$$\langle \mathcal{O}(\vec{x})\mathcal{O}(\vec{y})\rangle = \frac{2\nu\kappa}{|\vec{x}-\vec{y}|^{2\Delta}} \tag{C.18}$$

with

$$\kappa = \frac{\Gamma(\Delta)}{\pi^{d/2}\Gamma(\nu)}. \tag{C.19}$$

For $d=2$ the holographic 2d conformal correlation function becomes,

$$\langle \mathcal{O}(\vec{x})\mathcal{O}(\vec{y})\rangle = \frac{2}{\pi}(\Delta-1)^2\frac{1}{|\vec{x}-\vec{y}|^{2\Delta}}. \tag{C.20}$$

To recover our conventional result (2.19) for the "sine-Gordon operator" with $\Delta = \beta^2/4\pi$, we need to normalize the field in such a way so that the interaction term in the partition function $Z[\lambda_0]$ becomes

$$\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}}\int \lambda_b\mathcal{O} \tag{C.21}$$

We use the above normalization (C.21) for the scalar field in our bulk computation of EE.

References

[1] L. Bombelli, R. K. Koul, J. Lee, and R. D. Sorkin, *A Quantum Source of Entropy for Black Holes*, *Phys. Rev.* **D34** (1986) 373–383.

[2] M. Srednicki, *Entropy and area*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **71** (1993) 666–669, arXiv:hep-th/9303048 [hep-th].

[3] C. Holzhey, F. Larsen, and F. Wilczek, *Geometric and renormalized entropy in conformal field theory*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B424** (1994) 443–467, arXiv:hep-th/9403108 [hep-th].

[4] P. Calabrese and J. L. Cardy, *Entanglement entropy and quantum field theory*, *J. Stat. Mech.* **0406** (2004) P06002, arXiv:hep-th/0405152 [hep-th].

[5] A. Kitaev and J. Preskill, *Topological entanglement entropy*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96** (2006) 110404, arXiv:hep-th/0510092 [hep-th].

[6] M. Levin and X.-G. Wen, *Detecting Topological Order in a Ground State Wave Function*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96** (2006) 110405.

[7] G. Vidal, J. I. Latorre, E. Rico, and A. Kitaev, *Entanglement in quantum critical phenomena*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **90** (2003) 227902, arXiv:quant-ph/0211074 [quant-ph].

[8] S. Ryu and T. Takayanagi, *Holographic derivation of entanglement entropy from AdS/CFT*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96** (2006) 181602, arXiv:hep-th/0603001 [hep-th].

[9] S. Ryu and T. Takayanagi, *Aspects of Holographic Entanglement Entropy*, *JHEP* **08** (2006) 045, arXiv:hep-th/0605073 [hep-th].

[10] V. E. Hubeny, M. Rangamani, and T. Takayanagi, *A Covariant holographic entanglement entropy proposal*, *JHEP* **07** (2007) 062, arXiv:0705.0016 [hep-th].

[11] T. Hartman, *Entanglement Entropy at Large Central Charge*, arXiv:1303.6955 [hep-th].

[12] T. Faulkner, *The Entanglement Renyi Entropies of Disjoint Intervals in AdS/CFT*, arXiv:1303.7221 [hep-th].

[13] P. Caputa, M. Nozaki, and T. Takayanagi, *Entanglement of local operators in large-N conformal field theories*, *PTEP* **2014** (2014) 093B06, arXiv:1405.5946 [hep-th].

[14] C. T. Asplund, A. Bernamonti, F. Galli, and T. Hartman, *Holographic Entanglement Entropy from 2d CFT: Heavy States and Local Quenches*, *JHEP* **02** (2015) 171, arXiv:1410.1392 [hep-th].

[15] M. Nozaki, T. Numasawa, and T. Takayanagi, *Quantum Entanglement of Local Operators in Conformal Field Theories*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **112** (2014) 111602, arXiv:1401.0539 [hep-th].

[16] P. Banerjee, S. Datta, and R. Sinha, *Higher-point conformal blocks and entanglement entropy in heavy states*, *JHEP* **05** (2016) 127, arXiv:1601.06794 [hep-th].

[17] S. Datta, J. R. David, M. Ferlaino, and S. P. Kumar, *Higher spin entanglement entropy from CFT*, *JHEP* **06** (2014) 096, arXiv:1402.0007 [hep-th].

[18] S. Datta, J. R. David, M. Ferlaino, and S. P. Kumar, *Universal correction to higher spin entanglement entropy*, *Phys. Rev.* **D90** no. 4, (2014) 041903, arXiv:1405.0015 [hep-th].

[19] S. Datta, J. R. David, and S. P. Kumar, *Conformal perturbation theory and higher spin entanglement entropy on the torus*, *JHEP* **04** (2015) 041, arXiv:1412.3946 [hep-th].

[20] H. Casini, M. Huerta, and R. C. Myers, *Towards a derivation of holographic entanglement entropy*, *JHEP* **05** (2011) 036, arXiv:1102.0440 [hep-th].

[21] A. Lewkowycz and J. Maldacena, *Generalized gravitational entropy*, *JHEP* **08** (2013) 090, arXiv:1304.4926 [hep-th].

[22] X. Dong, A. Lewkowycz, and M. Rangamani, *Deriving covariant holographic entanglement*, arXiv:1607.07506 [hep-th].

[23] E. T. Akhmedov, *A Remark on the AdS / CFT correspondence and the renormalization group flow*, *Phys. Lett.* **B442** (1998) 152–158, arXiv:hep-th/9806217 [hep-th].

[24] J. de Boer, E. P. Verlinde, and H. L. Verlinde, *On the holographic renormalization group*, *JHEP* **08** (2000) 003, arXiv:hep-th/9912012 [hep-th].

[25] V. Balasubramanian and P. Kraus, *Space-time and the holographic renormalization group*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **83** (1999) 3605–3608, arXiv:hep-th/9903190 [hep-th].

[26] S. de Haro, S. N. Solodukhin, and K. Skenderis, *Holographic reconstruction of space-time and renormalization in the AdS / CFT correspondence*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **217** (2001) 595–622, arXiv:hep-th/0002230 [hep-th].

[27] K. Skenderis, *Lecture notes on holographic renormalization*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **19** (2002) 5849–5876, arXiv:hep-th/0209067 [hep-th].

[28] M. Bianchi, D. Z. Freedman, and K. Skenderis, *Holographic renormalization*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B631** (2002) 159–194, arXiv:hep-th/0112119 [hep-th].

[29] A. W. Peet and J. Polchinski, *UV / IR relations in AdS dynamics*, *Phys. Rev.* **D59** (1999) 065011, arXiv:hep-th/9809022 [hep-th].

[30] E. Witten, *Multitrace operators, boundary conditions, and AdS / CFT correspondence*, arXiv:hep-th/0112258 [hep-th].

[31] I. Heemskerk and J. Polchinski, *Holographic and Wilsonian Renormalization Groups*, *JHEP* **06** (2011) 031, arXiv:1010.1264 [hep-th].

[32] T. Faulkner, H. Liu, and M. Rangamani, *Integrating out geometry: Holographic Wilsonian RG and the membrane paradigm*, *JHEP* **08** (2011) 051, arXiv:1010.4036 [hep-th].

[33] J. M. Lizana, T. R. Morris, and M. Perez-Victoria, *Holographic renormalisation group flows and renormalisation from a Wilsonian perspective*, *JHEP* **03** (2016) 198, arXiv:1511.04432 [hep-th].

[34] V. Rosenhaus and M. Smolkin, *Entanglement Entropy for Relevant and Geometric Perturbations*, *JHEP* **02** (2015) 015, arXiv:1410.6530 [hep-th].

[35] V. Rosenhaus and M. Smolkin, *Entanglement Entropy Flow and the Ward Identity*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **113** no. 26, (2014) 261602, arXiv:1406.2716 [hep-th].

[36] A. Lewkowycz, R. C. Myers, and M. Smolkin, *Observations on entanglement entropy in massive QFT's*, *JHEP* **04** (2013) 017, arXiv:1210.6858 [hep-th].

[37] C. P. Herzog and T. Nishioka, *Entanglement Entropy of a Massive Fermion on a Torus*, *JHEP* **03** (2013) 077, arXiv:1301.0336 [hep-th].

[38] J. M. Kosterlitz and D. J. Thouless, *Ordering, metastability and phase transitions in two-dimensional systems*, *J. Phys.* **C6** (1973) 1181–1203.

[39] J. M. Kosterlitz, *The Critical properties of the two-dimensional x y model*, *J. Phys.* **C7** (1974) 1046–1060.

[40] J. Frohlich and T. Spencer, *The Kosterlitz-thouless Transition in Two-dimensional Abelian Spin Systems and the Coulomb Gas*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **81** (1981) 527–602.

[41] S. R. Das and B. Sathiapalan, *String Propagation in a Tachyon Background*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **56** (1986) 2664.

[42] D. J. Amit, Y. Y. Goldschmidt, and G. Grinstein, *Renormalization Group Analysis of the Phase Transition in the 2D Coulomb Gas, Sine-Gordon Theory and xy Model*, *J. Phys.* **A13** (1980) 585.

[43] A. B. Zamolodchikov, *Irreversibility of the Flux of the Renormalization Group in a 2D Field Theory*, *JETP Lett.* **43** (1986) 730–732. [Pisma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.43,565(1986)].

[44] R. C. Myers and A. Sinha, *Holographic c-theorems in arbitrary dimensions*, *JHEP* **01** (2011) 125, arXiv:1011.5819 [hep-th].

[45] R. C. Myers and A. Sinha, *Seeing a c-theorem with holography*, *Phys. Rev.* **D82** (2010) 046006, arXiv:1006.1263 [hep-th].

[46] H. W. J. Bloete, J. L. Cardy, and M. P. Nightingle, *Conformal Invariance, the Central Charge, and Universal Finite Size Amplitudes at Ctriticality*, *Phys. Rev. Lett* **56** (1986) 742–745.

[47] Z. Komargodski and A. Schwimmer, *On Renormalization Group Flows in Four Dimensions*, *JHEP* **12** (2011) 099, arXiv:1107.3987 [hep-th].

[48] Z. Komargodski, *The Constraints of Conformal Symmetry on RG Flows*, *JHEP* **07** (2012) 069, arXiv:1112.4538 [hep-th].

[49] P. Oak and B. Sathiapalan, *In preparation*, .

[50] S. R. Coleman, *The Quantum Sine-Gordon Equation as the Massive Thirring Model*, *Phys. Rev.* **D11** (1975) 2088.

[51] S. Mandelstam, *Soliton Operators for the Quantized Sine-Gordon Equation*, *Phys. Rev.* **D11** (1975) 3026.

[52] J. D. Brown and M. Henneaux, *Central Charges in the Canonical Realization of Asymptotic Symmetries: An Example from Three-Dimensional Gravity*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **104** (1986) 207–226.

[53] B. Sathiapalan, *Gauge Invariant Action for the Open Bosonic String: Tachyon Action*, *JHEP* **07** (2009) 045, arXiv:0902.1132 [hep-th].

[54] D. Z. Freedman, S. D. Mathur, A. Matusis, and L. Rastelli, *Correlation functions in the CFT(d) / AdS(d+1) correspondence*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B546** (1999) 96–118, arXiv:hep-th/9804058 [hep-th].

[55] W. Mueck and K. S. Viswanathan, *Conformal field theory correlators from classical scalar field theory on AdS(d+1)*, *Phys. Rev.* **D58** (1998) 041901, arXiv:hep-th/9804035 [hep-th].